should be ordered to be produced with the *khirdi* at the treasury at the time of *irsal*, so as to facilitate proper checking of the amount with the accounts.

(2) The patta form will not always be available for noting payments of land revenue which is collected sometimes by village officials and sometimes by shekdars. It will therefore be convenient if the patta is made only to note the extent of lands in the holding of each *khatedar* and the assessment due by him, and separate receipts granted whenever the assessment is paid, the counterfoils of the receipts being sent with the *khirdis* for being checked in the taluk office.

(3) Under the standing orders all the kandayam collected has to be entered in the patta only. Great difficulty is felt in collecting the kandayam due by the *hissedars* as the *pattadar* cannot be expected to be present whenever the *hissedars* pay the amount. Further, the *pattadar* cannot be pressed to grant receipts to his *hissedars*. The granting of *phoot* receipts has been condemned as the collections cannot be checked properly. In these circumstances, to avoid the above difficulties receipt books containing counterfoils may be introduced.

Reply of Government.—The matter is under correspondence.

SUBJECT No. 156.

Forms of transfer of *pattas*.

Mr. Shamayya, Bangalore District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Prays for the revival of the old forms of transfer of *pattas* as the new forms do not contain the survey number, extent, amount, etc.

Reply of Government.—This has been sanctioned by Government.

SUBJECT No. 157.

Village Account Form No. 3.

Mr. Lingegowda, Mysore taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that a fresh head be opened in the Village Account Form No. 3 to show the names of the *anubhavadars* of each survey number.

Mr. Ramaswamiengar of Hassan.—These entries have nothing to do with the object with which the *Pahani* accounts are kept. It will, moreover, enable shanbhogs to get up improper entries of title which will be relied on as evidence in suits in civil courts.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done as it is objectionable.

SUBJECT No. 158.

Office list showing the number of *bandhs* and stones in each survey number.

Mr. Ramaswamiengar, Hassan taluk.

Summary of discussion.—None of the existing survey accounts shows the number of *bandhs* and stones fixed in each survey number. Consequently, it is not possible to ascertain the condition of the boundary marks, many of which may remain unrepaired. The village officials have no account with them to state the correct number of such *bandhs* or stones, and therefore, when questioned by the